Governor plans to cut 1,000 state jobs and \$100 million

By TOM SGOUROS

es will be sacrificed to make words, about as valuable as this plan work, it's all just who he will cut, from which departments, and which servicmuch. Until he starts to say bit less credible, but not by not the governor, so it's a tiny Scarborough. Of course I'm efit costs for the remaining and another \$50 million in ben-Except the lifeguards all 15,353 state employees! like that, too. Watch: I will cut state employees. I can say stuff cut \$50 million state spending 1,000 state employees and to announced his plans to cut

won't consider it. ture, but Governor Carcieri would take would be a signapaying another dime. All it without reducing benefits or about \$43 million or more well as for all the schools, by pension costs for the state, as will say is that we could lower term.) What neither of them actually cost more in the short state employees. (Which will proposing cutting employee benefits, including pensions, for state employees. William the kind of pensions we offer to something to consider: he is always talking about, here's House, is proposing a shift in Murphy, the Speaker of the of those tough decisions he's governor actually to make one While we all wait for the

The story isn't too complicated, but there are four important things to understand about it. First, and this will come as a



surprise to many, current state employees and teachers pay almost all of the cost of their own pensions. State employees pay 8.75 percent of their salaries and teachers pay 9.5 percent into their pension funds. This current year the employer (the state and the schools) only pay about 1.5 percent of payroll to match what are called the "normal costs," which are the expected costs of paying the pensions of the employees who are in the system now.

Second, the system has a big "unfunded liability," which is the difference between what the system expects to have and what it expects to pay, in the future. Paying this off is where the real expense is, and the state and schools are paying about 20 percent of payroll for that. For a long time, state pensions were underfunded. We gave legislators, judges and other favored employees pension credit for time in the mili-

other years, so it's likely that poor predictions aren't the real get things wrong, but they tend aren't soothsayers, and they do problem.) to be high some years and low out to be wrong. (Actuaries death rates of retirees turned about investment returns, or the entirely. And some predictions couple of years after the credit ed liability. What's more, for a the state skipped its payments union crisis of the early 1990s. legacy lingers on in the unfundabuses have been largely pletely) eliminated, but their (though probably not comsystems, and sometimes just tary, for time in other pension for having a nice smile. These

unfunded liability in 30 years, state decided to pay off the responsible thing, in 1999, the reduce "volatility" in future increases. actuary-speak for sudden cost pension payments. unfunded liability small helps business, state isn't going to go out of be able to survive that. The you want the pension system to es can go out of business, and vate businesses, getting the unfunded liability down to zero is important because businessit's a good thing to do. For priimportant to understand why good thing to do, but it's the unfunded liability. This is a began a program to pay down Third, in 1999, the state So, doing but keeping the This is

Fourth, no sooner had the state decided to pay down the liability than the stock market tanked. So for the first five years, the extra payments

pension weren't enough to make up for the just the investment losses. They have by now, but we're still on track to pay off the liability by 2029. Except now instead of a 30-year payment schedule, we have a 22-year schedule, and the payments are higher, just like a mortgage would be. If we restarted the clock, and moved yments the payoff date to 2037, state lictions payments would drop by \$28, or the million and the cities and towns turned would save \$15 million. Ask tuaries your local school principal if that would be a good idea.

Sounds radical, no? Well, there are radicals in North Dakota, Iowa and Kentucky who do this every year for retirement systems there. Oklahoma, too, and they use a 40-year schedule. Actuaries call this an "open" amortization schedule, and it's not at all uncommon.

You don't get to a date certain for paying off the liability, but you do make progress on it every year, and that's what being prudent means.

What being prudent doesn't mean is to break the system in the present so that taxpayers in the future will have it easier, which is what we're doing now. I guess there's a certain nobility in suffering now so that our children won't suffer in the future, but our children also attend the schools where pension costs are displacing spending on books.

So as you read the moaning about the state deficit this year—and there's going to be a lot of it—remember that a simple fix like adjusting the pension payment schedule is considered off the table. And also remember that, counting the

Coventry Courser October 12, 2007